



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITOD STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States: Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/753,006	12/29/2000	Joseph A. Bennett	42390.P9942	9847	
John P. Ward BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP Seventh Floor 12400 Wilshire Boulevard Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026			EXAMINER		
			LE, DIEU MINH T		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2114	<i>f</i> -	
			DATE MAILED: 05/05/2004	φ	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A

				イ ソ			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	4			
		09/753,006	BENNETT, JOSEPH A.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Dieu-Minh Le	2114	· 			
- Period for	- The MAILING DATE of this communication ap r Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address	•			
THE N - Extens after S - If the p - If NO - Failum Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a repoly within the statutory minimum of thirty I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT te. cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communica INDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	tion.			
Status	•						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 I	February 2004.					
•	•—	is action is non-final.					
•	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1,3-13,15 and 16</u> is/are pending in the day of the above claim(s) is/are withdray claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1,3-13, 15-16</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.					
Application	on Papers						
9)□ -	The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac	cepted or b) objected to b	y the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11) 🗆 -	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre The oath or declaration is objected to by the E						
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bures see the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Ap ority documents have been i au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment	t(s)						
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06 r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

Art Unit: 2114

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This Office Action is in response to the amendment filed February 17, 2004 in application 09/753,006.
- 2. Claims 1, 3-13, and 15-16 are again presented for examination, claims 2 and 14 have been canceled.
- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 4. Claims 1, 3-13, and 15-16 are again rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being unpatentable Egan et al. (US Patent 5,875,308 hereafter referred to as Egan).

This rejection is being applied for the same reasons set forth in the previous Office Action paper number 4, paragraph 2 mailed August 14, 2003.

As per claims 1, 3-13, see the previous office action for the teaching of Egan.

As per claims 1 and 13, applicant added limitation of claim 2 to claim 1 and claim 14 to claim 13. However, Egan explicitly teaches:

Art Unit: 2114

- a bus bridge device to disconnect the internal logic unit from the bus in response to an assertion of the fault signal [col. 3, lines 47-60 and col. 4, lines 1-10];
 a bus bridge device to assert an interrupt signal in
- a bus bridge device to assert an interrupt signal in response to the assertion of the fault signal[col. 4, lines 18-37];
- the bus bridge device to assert an error signal in response to the assertion of the fault signal (i.e., bus bridge power monitoring input/notification) [col. 4, lines 38-53].

Applicant asserts that Egan failed to teach or suggest the following:

a. disconnecting an internal logic unit in a bus bridge from a bus in response to a power fault signal assertion.

Examiner respectfully transverses Applicant's argument as follows:

a. First, it is not true that Egan failed to teach,
"disconnecting an internal logic unit in a bus bridge from a bus
in response to a power fault signal assert."

Art Unit: 2114

Examiner would like to bring Applicant attention to Egan's an enhanced peripheral component interconnect architecture having hot-plugging (e.g., bridge) capability for a data processing system [col. 2, lines 15-16]. Egan explicitly demonstrated and disclosed capabilities of:

- PCI bus [fig. 1, col. 2, line 61 and col. 3, line 2];
- a bus including power line [col. 2, lines 17-27];
- a bus bridge device including an internal logic unit [col. 3, lines 1-11 and lines 47-60] and;
- a power regulator to delivery power to the power lines [col. 3, lines 66 through col. 4, lines 17 and col. 4, lines 60 through col. 5, lines 2];
- the power regulator [col. 3, lines 66 through col. 4, lines 17 and col. 4, lines 60 through col. 5, lines 2] to assert a fault signal to the bus bridge device if a power fault is detected [col. 3, line 59].

In addition, Egan explicitly disclosed the PCI hot-plug bridge removed from the power and other devices due to a failure or excessive power [col. 5, lines 1-15]. Egan also demonstrated adapter card removed from the desired adapter slot due to power off [col. 5, lines 29-37].

Art Unit: 2114

This is clearly shown that Egan's enhanced peripheral component interconnect (PCI) architecture for a data processing system does illustrate, demonstrate, and teach capabilities corresponded to Applicant's invention.

Second, it is inherent that once the power failure signal detected, the internal logic circuit would be disconnected in protecting any damage to its devices, and other related connected to the device. This argument (i.e., Applicant's limitation) is a moot point to any computing or communicating connectivity.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a).

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 2114

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dieu-Minh Le whose telephone number is (703) 305-9408. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:30 AM to 6:00 PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Beausoliel, can be reached on (703)305-9713. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

DIEU-MINH THAI LE PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 2114

DML 5/2/04